

H 5294

Bennett
Belts
Bevill
Blaggi
Blester
Blackburn
Blanton
Boggs
Boland
Bow
Brasco
Bray
Brooks
Brotzman
Brown, Mich.
Brown, Ohio
Broyhill, N.C.
Broyhill, Va.
Buchanan
Burke, Fla.
Burleson, Tex.
Byrne, Pa.
Byrnes, Wis.
Byron
Cabell
Caffery
Camp
Carney
Carter
Casey, Tex.
Cederberg
Celler
Chamberlain
Chappell
Clark
Clausen
Clausen, Don H.
Clawson, Del.
Cleveland
Collier
Collins, Tex.
Colmer
Conable
Conte
Coughlin
Crane
Daniel, Va.
Daniel, N.J.
Danielson
Davis, Ga.
Davis, S.C.
Davis, Wis.
de la Garza
Deaney
Dellenback
Dennis
Derwinski
Devine
Dickinson
Dingell
Dorn
Dowdy
Downing
Dulski
Duncan
du Pont
Dwyer
Edmondson
Edwards, Ala.
Erlenborn
Esch
Eshleman
Fish
Fisher
Flood
Flowers
Foley
Ford, Gerald R.
Fountain
Frelinghuysen
Frenzel
Frey
Fulton, Pa.
Fulton, Tenn.
Fuqua
Gallagher
Gallagher
Garmatz
Gaydos
Gibbons
Goldwater
Gonzalez
Goodling
Gray
Green, Oreg.
Griffin
Griffiths

Gross
Grover
Gubser
Hagan
Haley
Hall
Hamilton
Hammer
Hanscheidt
Hanley
Hanna
Hanson, Idaho
Hanson, Wash.
Harsha
Harvey
Hastings
Hays
Hebert
Heckler, Mass.
Henderson
Hicks, Mass.
Hicks, Wash.
Hillis
Hogan
Holtfield
Horton
Hosmer
Hull
Hungate
Hunt
Hutchinson
Ichord
Jarman
Johnson, Calif.
Johnson, Pa.
Jonas
Jones, Ala.
Jones, N.C.
Jones, Tenn.
Kazen
Keating
Kee
Keith
Kemp
King
Kluczyński
Kuykendall
Kyl
Landgrebe
Leggett
Lennon
Lent
Lloyd
Long, Md.
Lujan
McClary
McCloskey
McClure
McCollister
McDade
McEwen
McFall
McKay
McKevitt
McKinney
McMillan
Mahon
Mallard
Mann
Martin
Mathis, Ga.
Matsunaga
Mayne
Melcher
Michel
Miller, Calif.
Miller, Ohio
Mills, Ark.
Mills, Md.
Minish
Minshall
Mizell
Mollohan
Montgomery
Morgan
Murphy, Ill.
Murphy, N.Y.
Myers
Natcher
Nelson
Nichols
Nix
O'Neill
Passman
Patman
Pepper
Perkins
Pettis

NOT VOTING—30

Anderson, Tenn.
Clausen
Dent
Diggs
Donohue
Dow
Edwards, La.
Evins, Tenn.
Flynt
Gettys
Grasso
Hawkins
Helstoski
Landrum

Peyser
Pickle
Pirnie
Poage
Poff
Powell
Preyer, N.C.
Price, Ill.
Price, Tex.
Pryor, Ark.
Puchalski
Purcell
Quie
Rallsback
Randall
Reid, Ill.
Rhodes
Roberts
Robinson, Va.
Robison, N.Y.
Roe
Rogers
Roncallo
Rooney, N.Y.
Rooney, Pa.
Rostenkowski
Roush
Rousselot
Ruppe
Ruth
Sandman
Satterfield
Schmitz
Schwengel
Scott
Sebelius
Shipley
Shoup
Shriver
Sikes
Sisk
Skubitz
Slack
Smith, Calif.
Smith, Iowa
Smith, N.Y.
Snyder
Spence
Springer
Stafford
Staggers
Stanton
J. William
Steed
Steele
Steiger, Wis.
Stephens
Stubblefield
Symington
Taleott
Taylor
Teague, Calif.
Teague, Tex.
Terry
Thompson, Ga.
Thomson, Wis.
Thone
Tiernan
Van Deerlin
Vander Jagt
Waggonner
Wampler
Ware
Watts
Whalley
Whille
Whitehurst
Whitten
Widnall
Wiggins
Williams
Wilson, Bob
Wilson
Charles H.
Winn
Wright
Wyatt
Wydler
Wylie
Wyman
Yatron
Young, Fla.
Young, Tex.
Zablocki
Zion
Zwack

Long, La.
McCulloch
Macdonald, Mass.
Mathias, Calif.
Pelly

Quillen
Kangel
Rarick
Runnels
Saylor
Scherle

Steiger, Ariz.
Stuckey
Sullivan
Ullman
Veysey

So the amendment was rejected.

Mr. VAN DEERLIN. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to change my vote from "aye" to "no."

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will read. The Clerk read as follows:

Sec. 202. There is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense during fiscal year 1972 for use as an emergency fund for research, development, test, and evaluation or procurement or production related thereto, \$50,000,000.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. PIKE

Mr. PIKE. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. PIKE: Page 3, line 10, insert a new Section 203 "Notwithstanding the maximum sums heretofore authorized in this title for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation for the Army, Navy, Air Force, Defense agencies and Department of Defense during fiscal year 1972; the total amount authorized to be appropriated for such purposes shall not exceed the sum of \$7,109,200,000."

Mr. PIKE. Mr. Chairman, I would expect what I am about to say will be the only time I will be greeted with any great applause all day. This particular amendment is the last amendment which I am going to offer, and I am not going to ask for a record vote on it.

I thought that would endear me to my colleagues if nothing else did.

Mr. Chairman, what this amendment does is very big and very simple. It cuts the amount of money to be spent for military research and development, test, and evaluation back to the amount which was appropriated for the present fiscal year. That is all it does. It does not do any more than that and it does not do any less than that. It says that the R.D.T. & E. shall be the same amount as was appropriated in the current fiscal year.

Yes, of course, I am going to yield to the chairman to ask me whether this is one of those meat-ax, across-the-board, blunderbuss cuts.

Mr. HEBERT. The gentleman anticipated me. I am not going to ask him anything. I am just waiting for time of my own.

Mr. PIKE. I see.

Well, in response, then, to what my beloved chairman is going to say on his time, that this is an across-the-board, meat-ax, blunderbuss approach to the problem, the answer is that this is an across-the-board, meat-ax, blunderbuss approach to the problem.

Mr. ARENDS. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. PIKE. I am happy to yield to the gentleman from Illinois.

Mr. ARENDS. To what extent? Is it \$800 million?

Mr. PIKE. Actually it is more than that; it is \$975 million.

We have increased this particular aspect of the bill, according to the chairman's statement, I believe \$975 million over the figure appropriated last year; \$974,967,000, to be precise.

I honestly believe that the people of the United States of America do not want to spend another billion dollars this year for research on defense items.

I honestly believe that the people of the United States of America do not want to increase our military spending for such purposes.

I honestly believe that the people of the United States of America are looking for some relief from the tremendous amounts of money that we continue to spend for the military.

Some will say, "Oh, yes, this is an awful cut." Well, it is a substantial cut, but we would leave \$7.1 billion for research and development in military weapons systems.

If I really thought that spending more money would buy us greater security I would be willing to spend more money, but we have gotten to the point in our national history now that for years and years and years and years and years we have said, "I would rather err on the side of safety," and we have erred to the point that today there are approximately 15 tons of destructive power available for every man, woman, and child on this planet. Tons. I do not believe there is any safety in those statistics.

I hope this amendment will be adopted. I understand that my friend the gentleman from Vermont has some reservations about my approach. I do hope that somewhere in this debate on this bill we shall do something to answer the cries of the young in our country, of the poor in our country, of the oppressed in our country who need other things than more military spending.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. STAFFORD TO THE AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. PIKE

Mr. STAFFORD. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment to the amendment. The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. STAFFORD to the amendment offered by Mr. PIKE: Amend the amendment offered by Mr. PIKE by striking out the figure of "\$7,109,200" on line 5 of said amendment and substituting therefor the figure \$7,450,680,000."

(Mr. STAFFORD asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STAFFORD. Mr. Chairman, I want to make it plain at the outset that generally I support the bill we are considering here, H.R. 8637. I think it is a good bill which has had excellent scrutiny in the Committee on Armed Services under the distinguished leadership of the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. HEBERT), and the distinguished gentleman from Illinois (Mr. ARENDS).

But even in the field of defense and research and development I do not believe at this time, in view of the fiscal plight that this country is in, that we can afford to spend one more cent than is absolutely essential to the security of the United States of America.

I shall be very brief and say that what my amendment does is to permit the re-